



April 3, 2002

Mr. Paul C. Sarahan
Director
Litigation Law Division
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2002-1630

Dear Mr. Sarahan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 161245.

The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission ("TNRCC") received a request for all records relating to Holnam Texas LP (now Holcim (US) Inc.)/Air Quality Permit #8996/PSD-TX-454M2 from August 2001 to February 2002. You inform us that a portion of the responsive information has been released to the requestor, but claim that the remaining portion of requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." The Texas courts have recognized the informer's privilege. *See Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). It protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi-criminal law-enforcement authority, provided that the subject of the information does not already know the informer's identity. Open Records Decision Nos. 515 at 3 (1988), 208 at 1-2 (1978). The informer's privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar law-enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

enforcement within their particular spheres.” Open Records Decision No. 279 at 2 (1981) (citing Wigmore, Evidence, § 2374, at 767 (McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute or law. See Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4-5 (1988). The privilege excepts the informer’s statement only to the extent necessary to protect that informer’s identity. Open Records Decision No. 549 at 5 (1990).

You have highlighted names, addresses, and telephone numbers in the information submitted as Attachment C, and explain that the information comprises reports to TNRCC of alleged violations of section 382.085 of the Health and Safety Code and section 101.4 of title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code. Violations of these statutory and administrative provisions carry potential civil fines and criminal penalties. See Water Code §§ 7.052(c); 30 T.A.C. § 70.5. Therefore, we conclude that, under section 552.101 in conjunction with the informer’s privilege, TNRCC may withhold the identifying information of the complainants that you have marked.

You next contend that the information in Attachments D, E and F is excepted under section 552.103 of the Government Code. Section 552.103 states in pertinent part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person’s office or employment, is or may be a party.

....

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that the requested information “relates” to a pending or reasonably anticipated judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show the applicability of an exception in a particular situation. The test for establishing that section 552.103(a) applies is a two-prong showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *University of Texas Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref’d n.r.e.). For purposes of section 552.103(a), this office considers a contested case under the Texas Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”), Government Code chapter 2001, to constitute “litigation.” Open Records Decision No. 588 at 7 (1991) (construing statutory predecessor to the APA).

You inform us that TNRCC currently has an enforcement action pending against Holnam Texas LP (now Holcim (US) Inc.), and that some of the information in Attachment D, E, and F is relevant to the pending enforcement action. You state that "extensive administrative penalties, exceeding \$200,000, are being sought." You further state that the request in this case was made 60 days after a proposed Agreed Order had been sent to Holnam, Texas, and that although an extension of time to pursue settlement had been granted in this case, at the time of the request, settlement had not been reached. After reviewing your arguments and the submitted documents, we conclude that litigation was pending on the date TNRCC received the information request. We also find that the information you have marked in Attachments D, E, and F is related to the pending litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, the information you have marked in Attachments D, E, and F may be withheld from disclosure pursuant to section 552.103.

We note that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). In addition, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once litigation concludes. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). As we resolve this part of your request under section 552.103, we need not address your other raised exceptions.

To summarize, the information you have marked in Attachment C may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with the informer's privilege. The information you have marked in Attachments D, E, and F may be withheld under section 552.103. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be

provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/seg

Ref: ID# 161245

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Becky Bornhorst
Director
Downsiders at Risk
400 North Main Street
Duncanville, Texas 75116-3653
(w/o enclosures)